Diagnosing Cutaneous Adverse Food Reactions in the Allergic Patient: What's New & What Works from a Dermatologist's Perspective



Jennifer Petersen, DVM, DACVD Animal Allergy & Dermatology of Colorado

Adverse food reactions (AFR) include both *food allergy* (immunologic response) and *food intolerance* (non-immunologic response), typically difficult to discern in the clinical setting.^{1,2} AFRs manifest commonly through the skin (cutaneous adverse food reaction, CAFR) and the gastrointestinal system, although rarely other body systems may be affected.^{1,3} The most common food allergens include beef, dairy products, chicken, and wheat in the dog; beef, chicken, and fish are the top offenders in the cat.⁴ The true prevalence of CAFR is unknown, but available data reports prevalence of those with cutaneous signs to be 0.22-6% in cats and 7.6-25% in dogs.⁴ Despite ongoing efforts to find more convenient forms of testing, in vitro and in vivo tests are not considered acceptable tools for use in CAFR diagnosis, leaving the elimination diet trial (EDT), followed by dietary provocation, as the "gold standard."^{5,6,7,8}

An EDT should be considered for those allergic patients displaying non-seasonal clinical signs, those where the clinical pattern is atypical for atopic dermatitis (AD), those with signs of concurrent gastrointestinal disturbances, and/or those who are not responsive to typical AD treatments. CAFR and AD sensu stricto can manifest with the same signs, and moreover, food may trigger a flare of pruritic dermatitis in dogs that is clinically characteristic of AD. This manifestation has been termed food-induced atopic dermatitis (FIAD).^{1,7,8} The overlap of clinical manifestations demonstrates why an EDT is a crucial diagnostic tool in the allergy work up. See table 1 for a review of the common clinical signs reported in AFRs.^{1,2,4,9}

Selecting an elimination diet (ED) should be individualized to the pet, accounting for several factors on the pet's behalf (dietary history, life stage, presence of comorbidities also requiring dietary modifications) and on the owner's behalf (budget, personal dietary preferences that may influence what their pet eats, commercial diet perceptions, willingness to home cook). See Table 2 for a summary of ED options for CAFR diagnosis.^{1,10,11,12,13,14}

The EDT itself consists of the restrictive period and provocation phase. Restrictive period: The pet may only ingest the ED, avoiding exposure to any other flavored items (treats, medications, supplements, preventatives, toys, rawhides).¹ The recommended duration of the trial is 8 weeks, minimum, which increases the sensitivity of diagnosis to >90% for both species.¹⁵ Provocation **phase:** At the end of the restrictive phase, the pet is fed back its original diet to confirm relapse of clinical signs.^{1,2,7,8,16} The ED should be resumed at the first sign of a flare, which could occur with as little as 1 teaspoon of the original diet and typically occurs between day 1-14 of provocation.^{7,11,16} One study found that more than 90% of dogs and cats flared by



day 14 and day 7, respectively.¹⁶ Once a provocation flare has resolved with the return of the ED, a single ingredient challenge can then be performed to identify specific allergenic triggers. Lifetime avoidance of the offending trigger is recommended in those with confirmed CAFR.

Many of the pets starting an EDT have chronic, self-perpetuating skin changes that can take significant time to improve clinically, hence the prolonged duration recommended for an EDT. Interpretation of a pet's response to an EDT can be influenced by outside factors, such as the onset of secondary skin infections, which commonly develop because of allergic inflammation. Recent studies in dogs have shown that use of systemic antiinflammatory interventions (tapering course of prednisolone or on-label dose of oclacitinib) when used for the first 2-3 weeks of an EDT can dampen inflammation and pruritus, thereby possibly shortening the duration of EDT by 2-4 weeks for those dogs with FIAD.^{7,8} In these studies, ED provocation was performed 2 weeks off pharmaceuticals as long as relapsing allergic signs did not occur, with CAFR diagnosis reached for some as early as 4-6 weeks after starting

an EDT; diagnosis was made only once clinical signs relapsed with provocation of the original diet and with spontaneous remission of signs once the ED was resumed.^{7,8} In a clinical setting, it would be reasonable to consider initiating one of these pharmaceutical interventions when deemed appropriate by the overseeing clinician to aid in minimizing this inflammation at the start of an EDT. This pharmaceutical intervention may ultimately help owners better interpret their pet's response to an EDT at the 8 to 12-week mark by reducing lingering inflammation, while providing immediate symptomatic relief for quality-of-life purposes as an EDT gets underway.

Lastly, we cannot discuss EDTs without briefly discussing compliance, as it can be the biggest impedance in CAFR diagnosis given the strict guidelines that we task owners with.^{1,7,17} One study found that 75% of surveyed dog owners confessed to dietary indiscretions during EDTs, which supports what we already know: frequent check-ins and encouragement throughout the entire EDT process is crucial to a successful outcome!¹⁷

Table 1: Summary of Clinical Signs in Canine & Feline AFRs^{1,2,4,9}

	Canines	Felines
Age of Onset	*<1 year and >6 years, with 22% being \leq 6 months	*4 months – 15 years, with average age between 4-5 years
Cutaneous Clinical Signs	*Pruritus (diffuse or limited to ears, paws, and ventrum) *Recurrent pyoderma *Otitis externa (may be only presenting complaint)	*Pruritus (head + neck) *Self-induced symmetrical alopecia *Eosinophilic granuloma complex entities *Miliary dermatitis
Gastrointestinal Clinical Signs	*Vomiting *Diarrhea *Abdominal pain *Increased defecation frequency *Flatulence *Borborygmi	*Flatulence *Vomiting *Diarrhea
Other Reported Manifestations (rare)	*Erythema multiforme *Seizures, behavioral changes *Vasculitis *Urticaria *Anaphylaxis	*Sneezing *Coughing *Conjunctivitis

Table 2: Summary of Elimination Diet Trial Options for CAFR Diagnosis^{1,10,11,12,13,14}

Diet Type	Diet Concept	Considerations	Diet Options
Hydrolyzed	*Disruption of the protein structure, allowing the diet to go "undetected" by the immune system. *Molecular weight goal: <10 kDa	 * Ideal when dietary history is unknown. * Depending on degree of hydrolyzation, hydrolysate source may still induce allergenicity in those pets with previous exposure to the native protein.^{110,11,13} * Bitterness reported with hydrolyzation.¹⁰ * Osmotic diarrhea may occur with use.¹⁰ 	* BLUE Natural Veterinary Diet HF (salmon) * Hill's Prescription Diet z/d (chicken) * Purina Pro Plan Veterinary Diets HA Vegetarian (soy), HA Salmon (soy + salmon) or HA Chicken * Royal Canin Hydrolyzed Protein HP (soy), Ultamino (poultry feather), & Multi-Function HP Options
Novel	*Limited ingredient option that offers a protein source that the pet has not been exposed to, reducing the chance of allergenicity.	 * May be challenging to find a suitable option in those pets who have been exposed to numerous protein sources. * OTC options should be avoided due to possible cross contamination and mislabeling concerns. * Ongoing research is looking at possible cross-reactivities between closely related foods and clinical relevancy; may impact protein selection.¹⁴ * Sustainability & availability concerns 	* BLUE Natural Veterinary Diet NP (alligator) * Hill's Prescription Diet Derm Complete (egg) & d/d formulas (venison, duck, salmon) * Rayne EcoDerm-BSFL (dried black soldier fly larvae), Plant- Based (quinoa, fava beans, millet), Rabbit, & Kangaroo * Royal Canin: PR/PV/PD (potato, hydrolyzed soy + rabbit/ venison/duck), PW (potato + whitefish), & Vegetarian (oat groats, potato protein)
Synthetic Amino Acid- Based	*Avoids use of large protein molecules (MW: 0.075-0.204 kDa) to prevent an immune response.	*Removes animal protein altogether. *Ideal when dietary history is unknown.	*Purina Pro Plan Veterinary Diets EL Elemental Canine At time of writing, this product was voluntarily recalled and unavailable for order due to concerns with potentially elevated vitamin D levels.
Home-cooked Diets	*Balanced limited ingredient, single protein diet without concern for cross contamination if prepared as directed.	 * Formulation under guidance of a veterinarian, preferably board-certified veterinary nutritionist, to avoid nutritional deficiencies. * For highly motivated owners who may oppose commercial base diets. * Time consuming 	*To find a board-certified veterinary nutritionist near you: www.acvn.org Online resources with veterinary guidance: www.justfoodfordogs.com www.balanceit.com

There can be variations in nutrient profile between dry and canned formulations of the same diet. Example: Royal Canin Vegetarian Dry vs. Canned (latter contains soy protein isolate). Always check if diet is appropriate for the life stage of the pet.

References

1 Gaschen, F. P., Merchant, S. R. Adverse food reactions in dogs and cats. Veterinary Clinics of NA Small Animal Practice 2011; 41(2):361-379.

2 Verlinden A, et al. Food allergy in dogs and cats: a review. Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition. 2006; 46(3):259-73.

Mueller R, Olivry T. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (6): prevalence of noncutaneous manifestations of adverse food reactions in dogs and cats. BMC Vet Res 2018; 14(341).
 Mueller RS, Unterer S. Adverse food reactions: Pathogenesis, clinical signs, diagnosis and alternatives to

elimination diets. The Vet Journal 2018; 236:89-95.

5 Mueller, R.S., Olivry, T. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (4): can we diagnose adverse food reactions in dogs and cats with in vivo or in vitro tests?. BMC Vet Res 2017; 13(275).
6 Vovk, L. U., Watson, A., Dodds, W. J., Klinger, C. J., Classen, J., & Mueller, R. S. Testing for food-specific

antibodies in saliva and blood of food allergic and healthy dogs. The Veterinary Journal 2019; 245:1-6.

7 Favrot, C., Bizikova, P., Fischer, N., Rostaher, A., & Olivry, T. The usefulness of short@course prednisolone during the initial phase of an elimination diet trial in dogs with food@induced atopic dermatitis. Veterinary Dermatology 2019; 30(6):498-e149.

8 Fischer, N., Spielhofer, L., Martini, F., Rostaher, A., & Favrot, C. Sensitivity and specificity of a shortened elimination diet protocol for the diagnosis of foodEinduced atopic dermatitis (FIAD). Veterinary Dermatology 2021; 32(3):247-e65.

9 Olivry T, Mueller R. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (7): signalment and cutaneous manifestations of dogs and cats with adverse food reactions. BMC Vet Res 2019; 15(140). 10 Cave, N. J. Hydrolyzed protein diets for dogs and cats. Veterinary Clinics: Small Animal Practice 2006; 36(6):1251-1268. 11 Tinsley, J, Griffin, C, Sheinberg, G, Griffin, J, Cross, E, Gagné, J, et al. An open-label clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of an elemental diet for the diagnosis of adverse food reactions in dogs. Veterinary Dermatology 2023; 00:1–11.

12 Olivry T., Mueller R. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (5): discrepancies between ingredients and labeling in commercial pet foods. BMC Vet Res 2018;14(24).

 Olivry, T., Bizikova, P. A systematic review of the evidence of reduced allergenicity and clinical benefit of food hydrolysates in dogs with cutaneous adverse food reactions. Veterinary Dermatology 2010; 21(1):32-41.
 Bexley, J., Nuttall, T.J., Hammerberg, B. and Halliwell, R.E. Co-sensitization and cross-reactivity between

related and unrelated food allergens in dogs – a serological study. Vet Dermatology 2017; 28(1):31-e7.
15 Olivry T, et al. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (1): duration of elimination diets. BMC Vet Res 2015; 11(225).

16 Olivry, T., Mueller, R.S. Critically appraised topic on adverse food reactions of companion animals (9): time to flare of cutaneous signs after a dietary challenge in dogs and cats with food allergies. BMC Vet Res 2020; 16(158).

17 Tiffany S, Parr JM, Templeman J, Shoveller AK, Manjos R, Yu A, Verbrugghe A. Assessment of dog owners' knowledge relating to the diagnosis and treatment of canine food allergies. Can Vet J 2019; 60(3):268-274.

